

REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 11-18, and 22 remain in the application and claims 1 and 12 have been amended hereby. Claims 8-10, 19-21, and 23 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer, and new claims 24 and 25 have been added.

The title of the invention has been changed to read -- DISPLAY CONTROL SYSTEM FOR CONTROLLING A DISPLAY SCREEN FORMED OF MULTIPLE DISPLAY UNITS--, as requested in the Office Action at paragraph 1.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 19, 20, and 23 under 35 USC 102(e), as being anticipated by Ludtke et al., and of the rejection of claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 14, 15, 17, and 18 under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over Ludtke et al., and of the rejection of claims 11 and 22 under 35 USC 103(a), as being unpatentable over Ludtke et al. in view of Smith.

Applicants note the Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter in claims 10 and 21, as stated in the Office Action at paragraph 6.

Therefore, claim 1 has been amended to recite all of the limitations of claim 10 and intervening claims 8 and 9, and claim 12 has been amended to recite all of the limitations of claim 21 and intervening claims 19 and 20. Claims 8-10, 19-21, and 23 have been canceled. The group of properties recited in canceled claims 9 and 20 that were moved to

independent claims 1 and 12 has been reduced to a video specification and a broadcast system. It is respectfully submitted that even with this reduction, amended independent claims 1 and 12 are distinguishable over the cited prior art.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 1 and 12, and the claims depending therefrom, are in condition of allowance.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon has been reviewed and is not seen to show or suggest the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

An early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Cooper & Dunham LLP


Jay H. Maioli
Reg. 27,213

JHM/PCF:tb